

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW

VOLUME THREE

TRADEMARKS

Spring 1990
Professor Rosemary J. Coombe

These materials are solely for the classroom use of students at the
Faculty of Law, University of Toronto.

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY

3 1761 03324 3296

LAW LIBRARY

MAR 9 1990

**FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO**

FACULTY OF LAW LIBRARY
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO


INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW

VOLUME THREE

TRADEMARKS

Spring 1990
Professor Rosemary J. Coombe

These materials are solely for the classroom use of students at the
Faculty of Law, University of Toronto.



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

<https://archive.org/details/intellectualprop03coom>

4. TRADEMARKS

<u>Readings</u>	<u>Page</u>
A. <u>HISTORY AND POLICY INTERESTS</u>	
Schechter "The Rational Basis of Trademark Protection" (1927)	235
Standard Brands v. Smidler	239
Roberts, "Functions of a Trademark" (1988)	244
"What's In a Name?" (1989)	246
"Case Comment: L.L. Bean v. Drake Publishers" (1988)	254
"Judge Rules Parody goes a Step too far" (1989)	258
B. <u>CONSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK</u>	
Constitution Act s. 91 (2), 91 (27), 92 (13)	259
Bell and Probert "Constitutionality of Canadian Trademark Law" (1985)	260
Canadian Converters v. Eastport Trading	293
Asbjorn Horgard A/S (1987)	294
C. <u>INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK</u>	
I <u>STATUTORY PROVISIONS</u>	
Trademark Act ss. 26-40, 55, 56	305
II <u>PROCEDURE</u>	
i) <u>The Trademark Search</u>	
[Materials To Be Distributed]	
ii) <u>Trademark Registration</u>	
[Materials To Be Distributed]	

D. COMMON LAW TORTSI PASSING OFFi) Criteria

Erven Warnick Besloten Vennootschap v.
J. Townshend & Sons 309

ii) Establishing Goodwill and Reputation

Blades Enterprises v. Thibault 314

Erven Warnick Besloten Vennootschap v.
J. Townsend & Sons

Oxford Pendaflex v. Korr 321

a) Length of Time Mark Has Been in Use

Erven Warnick Besloten Vennootschap v.
J. Townsend & Sons 328

b) Territorial Extent of Reputation

Sund v. Beachcombers Restaurant (BCSC) 329

Sund v. Beachcombers Restaurant (BCCA) 331

Orkin Exterminating Co. v. Pestco of Canada 337

iii) A Common Field of Activity?

McCulloch v. Lewis A. May 353

Falconbridge 357

Note: Krouse v. Chrysler 359

a) "Household Words"

Lego System A/S v. Lego M. Lemelstrich 360

b) Lesser Known Marks

Annabel's (Berkeley Square Ltd.) v. Schock 368

iv) Misrepresentation

Visa International v. Visa Motel	370
Cadbury Schweppes Pty v. Pub Squash Co	374
Consumers Distributing v. Seiko	381

II MISAPPROPRIATION OF VALUABLE INTANGIBLES

INS v. Associated Press	397
Moorgate Tobacco v. Philip Morris	410
Institut National Des Appellations v. Andres Wines	414
"He Who Reaps Where He Has Not Sown" (1942)	426
"Use of Like/Love Slogans"	

III STATUTORY CODIFICATION

Trademark Act s.7	449
Benjamin Distribution Ltd. v. Les Distributions Eclair Ltee.	450

E STATUTORY TRADEMARKSI CRITERIA FOR REGISTRABILITYi) Section 12 Requirements

Trademark Act s. 12	453
a) Primarily Name or Surname	
Comment	454
Gerhard Horn Investments Ltd. v. Registrar of Trademarks	455
b) Clearly Descriptive/Deceptively Misdescriptive	
Great Lake Hotels v. Noshery	464
S.C. Johnson Ltd. v. Marketing International	469
Molson Companies Ltd. v. John Labatt	473
Proctor and Gamble Inc. v. Nabisco Brands Ltd.	479

	Coca-Cola Ltd. v. General Foods	481
	Atlantic Promotions v. Registrar of Trademarks	482
c)	Name in any Language of Wares & Services	
	Comment	488
d)	Confusing With a Registered Mark	
	Trademark Act s.6	488
	Comment	489
	Conde Nast Publications Inc. v. Gozlan Bros	491
	Benson & Hedges v. St. Regis Tobacco	495
e)	Marks Prohibited Under the Act	
	Trademark Act ss. 9, 10, 12(1)(e)	
	Queen in Right of British Columbia et. al. v. Mihaljevic	500
	Mihaljevic v. Queen in Right of British Columbia	506
ii)	<u>Mere Functionality</u>	
	Trademark Act s.13	515
	Kline & French	
	Pizza Pizza Ltd. v. Registrar of Trademarks	516
II	<u>REGISTRATION</u>	
i)	<u>Bases for Application</u>	
	Trademark Act s. 16	521
a)	Making Known	
	Valles Steak House v. Tessier	522
b)	Proposed Use	
	Ford Motor Co. v. Kripka	525

c)	Prior Use in Canada of Confusing Mark	
	Manhattan Industries v. Princeton Manufacturing	526
ii)	<u>Benefits of Registration</u>	
	Comment	533
	Mr. P's Mastertune Ignition Services Ltd. v. Tunemasters	534
iii)	<u>Extent and Duration of Rights</u>	
	Trademark Act s. 19, 21, 32	535
III	<u>ESTABLISHING AND MAINTAINING DISTINCTIVENESS</u>	
i)	<u>Application</u>	
	Trademark Act s. 2	536
	Quiz: What are the following marks distinctive of?	536
	Humpty Dumpty Foods v. George Weston	539
ii)	<u>Opposition Proceedings</u>	
	Trademark Act s. 38	545
	E. and J. Gallo Winery v. Andres Wines Ltd.	546
iii)	<u>Infringement and Expungement Proceedings</u>	
	Trademark Act s. 18(1)(b), 43, 44, 57(1)	550
	Schmid Laboratories v. Atlantic Packaging Products Ltd.	551
	Moss, Hammond v. Pelle Import & Export Ltd.	555
	Maple Leaf Mills Ltd. v. The Quaker Oats Co. of Canada Ltd.	558
iv)	<u>Loss of Distinctiveness Due to Licensing and Assignment</u>	
	Wilkinson Sword (Canada) Ltd. v. Juda	565
	Marcus & Associates v. Quaker Oats of Canada	589

v)	<u>Marks Which Become Generic</u>	
	Aladdin Industries, Inc. v. Canadian Thermos Products	594
	Xerox Ad	610

F. INFRINGEMENT

	Trademark Act s. 19, 20, 22, 53(3)	611
	Hayhurst, "The Unauthorized Use of Another's Mark in Canada" (1984)	612
	Clairel International v. Thomas Supply & Equipment	632

G. REMEDIES

	Trademark Act s. 52, 53	642
	<u>See</u> Cairns, The Remedies for Trademark Infringement (1988) [Excerpts on Reserve at Library]	
	Turbo Resources Limited v. Petro Canada Inc.	643

H. OFFENCES

	Criminal Code Provisions	653
--	--------------------------	-----